

United States Patent and Trademark Office

11-1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,196	04/19/2001	Nobuyoshi Nakajima	2091-0237P	1187
2292 75	590 11/24/2006		EXAMINER	
	VART KOLASCH &	CHEVALIER, ROBERT		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2621	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/837,196	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bob Chevalier	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	·-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cition. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communion BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u 	This action is non-final.	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examplication Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the application by the Cathor of the Application Replacement drawing is objected to by the Example of the Cathor of the Cath	and/or election requirement. caminer. are: a) accepted or b) object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the certification for the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the certification for the action for the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for the certification for the ce	uments have been received. uments have been received in A le priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al in view of either Maruyama et al or True et al.

Yamada et al discloses a recording/reproducing apparatus that shows substantially the same limitations recited in claims 1, 4, 7, and 10, including the feature of having a plural set of image data recorded on a recording medium and thumbnail images of selected representative images being also recorded on the recording medium

Art Unit: 2621

as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10. (See Yamada et al's claim 49, last paragraph, section (ii), where it is disclosed thumbnail information including information pertaining to a plurality of representative images of the image files being recorded on the recording medium).

Yamada et al fails to specifically disclose the feature of attaching thumbnail images of the representative images to the surface of the storage medium disk as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-13.

Both Maruyama et al and True et al disclose a video content browsing apparatus, which includes the capability of attaching thumbnail images to a storage medium as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-13. Applicant's attention is directed to Maruyama et al's page 17, paragraph [0310], and True et al's Figures 1-3.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Yamada et al's apparatus wherein the storage recording means provided thereof would incorporate the capability of attaching thumbnail images to the storage medium in the same conventional manner as shown by either Maruyama et al or True et al. The motivation is to make the summary of the recorded content information on the storage medium available to the user by looking at the storage medium as suggested by both Maruyama et al and True et al.

With regard to claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, the feature of selecting the representative image data based on predetermined data or degree of similarity of the set of image data as specified thereof would be inherently present in the cited reference of Yamada et al's apparatus. Because, the Yamada et al's reference discloses that the user has the

Art Unit: 2621

capability of selecting representative data as desired as shown in Figures 2, and 3, of Yamada et al. Therefore, the user can always look for similarity of the image data in selecting the representative image data as desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by both Maruyama et al and True et al.

Both Maruyama et al and True et al disclose a recording/reproducing apparatus that shows substantially the same limitations recited in claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-13, including the feature of having a plural set of image data recorded on a recording medium and thumbnail images of selected representative images being also recorded on the recording medium as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-13, and furthermore, the feature of attaching thumbnail images of the representative images to the surface of the storage medium disk as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10-13. Applicant's attention is directed to Maruyama et al's page 17, paragraph [0310], and True et al's Figures 1-3.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 571-272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196

Art Unit: 2621

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

B. Chevalier November 20, 2006.